

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/691,487	10/24/2003	Leon Saltsov	WH-11 780US 7217	
24962	7590 06/15/2006	EXAMINER		NER
DENNISON ASSOCIATES			BEAUCHAINE, MARK J	
	OND STREET WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 301			L1	TATER NOMBER
	ON M5H 2L7		3653 DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006	
CANADA				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/691,487	SALTSOV ET AL.				
omee Acaem Cammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Mark J. Beauchaine	3653				
Period for Reply	cars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address =				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA: Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timustion will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 O	<u>ctober 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	., ., .,				

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Canada on 11 December 2002. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the Canadian application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The terms "narrow entry" (claim 1, line 1) and "high strength" (claim 4, line 3) are relative terms which render the claims indefinite. Said terms are not defined by the claims, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degrees, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,487

Art Unit: 3653

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patent Number 5,605,214 by Yukimoto et al (hereinafter "Yukimoto") in view of Patent Number 5,156,250 by Parish et al (hereinafter "Parish"). Yukimoto discloses a banknote processor incorporating insertion port 20 (see Figure 6). Once a document is inserted into said port it travels horizontally through a passageway and is directed at an upward angle via inclined wall 22. It is then redirected along the horizontal passageway adjacent to transportation belt 4.

The port 20 and belt 4 read on the Applicant's port and drive arrangement, respectively. Furthermore, the inclined wall 22 and the port/inclined wall and inclined wall/belt passageway sections read on the Applicant's first curved transition, upwardly angled section and second curved transition, respectively.

Although Yukimoto fails to disclose the passageway configuration as an insert within a housing the use of such a modular configuration is well known in the art. Parish teaches a currency receiver passageway that incorporates top member 80 and bottom member 82 that are inserted into housing 48 (see Figure 2) and read on the Applicant's

Application/Control Number: 10/691,487

Art Unit: 3653

insert. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the passageway insert of Parish into the banknote processor of Yukimoto to provide and effective means of assembling and maintaining the apparatus.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, the use of high strength metal in bill validator components to prevent vandalism and theft is well known in the art and the use of such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention.

Regarding claim 6, once bills are passed through transport belt 4 of Yukimoto they are transported vertically upward and stacked within processor body 11. Although Yukimoto discloses a sideways stacking operation the modification to an upward or downward stacking operation would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a compact profile of the apparatus.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark J. Beauchaine whose telephone number is (571)272-6934. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM through 5:00PM Mondays through Thursdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on (571)272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,487

Art Unit: 3653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mjb

KATHY MATECKI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 5